



Vill talk about: Stem cell and SOT patients Cancer patients

Will not talk about Primary immunodeficiencies HIV



Incidence of invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD) in immunocompromised hosts					
Adults, ABCs databas	se on 7 american states, 1999-2000				
Group	Incidence (CI 95%) / 100 000 persons				
Healthy subjects	8.8 (8.5-9)				
Diabetes	51.4 (49.2-53.9)				
Chronic respiratory failure	62.9 ( 59.8-66.3)				
Chronic heart disease	93.7 (87.4-100.9)				
Alcoholism	100.4 (94.1-107.7)				
Solid tumor	300.4 (272.6-334.6)				
HIV infection	422.9 (378.3-479.4)				
Hematological malignancy	503.1 (422.2-622.3)				
	Kyaw MH et al. J Infect Dis 200				

rolinska stitutet	Incidence of solid organ tr Prospective survey of 1995-2004	IPD after ransplantation 2976 adults with solid organ transplants 4, Canada. 21 cases observed.		
Type of	transplant	No. cases / 100 000 patients / year		
Normal	population	11.5		
Kidney		104		
Lung		239		
Liver		354		
Heart, p	ancreas	0		
All SO	r	146		
		Kumar D et al. Am J Transp	olant	2007







## Children with cancer/leukemia



Antibody levels are generally low in children treated for acute leukemia – lower than age-matched unvaccinated controls. It was not due to low Ig levels (Lehrnbecher et al Br. J. Haematol 2009)

Few if any patients have "protective" levels to all serotypes included in the PCV7 (Patel et al Arch Dis Child 2012)



# What determines immune status in secondary immunodeficency

Previous vaccination and infection history

Underlying disease

Given immunosuppression Type Intensity

Allogeneic SCT recipients Transfer of immunity from the donor Persistence of existing recipient immunity



No. of antigen specific cells in the patient

Eradication of patient memory B-cells by therapy

Graft-vs-host (lymphocyte) reaction? Cytotoxic chemo-radiotherapy? Anti-B-cell therapy

(No. of antigen specific cells in the donor graft)



#### S. Pneumoniae vaccines

Polysaccharide vaccines

Non T-cell dependent response Poor primary response

No memory = no boost effect

#### Conjugate vaccines

Obtained by covalent coupling of the PS with a protein T-cell dependent response

Better primary response, boost effect







How to define immune response to pneumococcal vaccine in immunocompromised patients?

By the reduction of incidence rate? unfeasible

#### By a biological parameter ?

GMC of specific Abs : which ones: all vaccine Ag, or only some? Geometric mean fold rise of specific Abs

Specific Ab serum level  $\geq 0.35 \mu g/mL$ ? One Ag? All vaccine Ag? Opsonophagocytic activity (OPA)?

#### When to assess the immune response ?

-> No consensus on what is the best parameter to assess protection in these populations



Risks with inactivated vaccines



No evident major risks for direct side effects Local side effects Systemic side effects

Is there a risk for immune activation complications (rejection, GVHD, autoimmune phenomena)? Existing data suggest the risks are very low

Increased risk with adjuvanted vaccines?

#### When should vaccinations be Karolinska performed

When is the patient at risk for infection?

What is the likelihood for an adequate immune response after therapy?

Can we vaccinate before initiation of therapy?

If not, when is it

a) safe

b) effective







Complete routine schedules

Immunize seronegative patients

Boost existing pre-transplant immunity

Immunize the donors (HSCT)

#### Pretherapy vaccination - cancer patients Karolinska Institutet



It is unlikely that patients needing intensive therapy can wait for vaccinations before start of therapy

Patients who can wait are unlikely to become very immunosuppressed. Possible exceptions

- low malignant lymphomas or CLL given anti-B cell antibodies
- patients requiring splenectomy
- myeloma patients early in the stage of the disease
- patients only treated with surgery or local irradiation

This possible strategy of vaccination followed by therapy has not been tested



However in patients not receiving chemotherapy, pretransplant vaccination can be considered for example against varicella.



In routine: practically, and ethically difficult !!







IDSA - cancer



adults with hematological (strong, very low) or solid malignancies (strong, very low) and children with malignancies (strong, very low) as described in recommendations 27a-c. PPSV23 should be administered to adults and children aged  $\geq\!\!2$  years (strong, low) at least 8 weeks after the indicated dose(s) of PCV13.



Adult SOT candidates; adults with end-stage kidney disease; and pediatric patients who are SOT candidates; are aged <6 years and have end-stage kidney, heart, or lung disease; or are aged 6-18 years and have end-stage kidney disease should receive PCV13 as in recommendations 27a-o (strong, very low).

> 92. Adults and children aged ≥2 years who are SOT candi-dates or have end-stage kidney disease should receive PPSV23 if they have not received a dose within 5 years and have not received 2 lifetime doses (strong, moderate). Pa-tients with end-stage kidney disease should receive 2 lifetime tients with end-stage kidney disease should receive 2 lifetime doses 5 years apart (strong, low). Adults and children aged 22 years with end-stage heart or lung disease as well as adults with chronic liver disease, including cirrhosis, should receive a dose of PFSV23 if they have never received a dose (strong, low). When both PCV13 and PFSV23 are indicated, PCV13 should be completed 8 weeks prior to PPSV23 (strong, moderate).



What do the recommendations say?



Children should receive age appropriate vaccinations

#### What about adults?

What vaccine should be used? What schedule should be used? When should vaccines be given?



#### Patient vaccination: Caveat!



No true efficacy data does exist

Toxicity data quite robust for many vaccines

"All" efficacy data is on surrugate endpoints e.g immune responses!

but

Absence of Evidence is not Evidence of Absence



A long list of therapeutic drugs and strategies

The ones with the higher need for protection are mostly those with the lower response to vaccine

A big issue: timing!







Very few studies have looked at PCV in hemat malignancies

One randomized study in which one dose of PCV7 was compared to one dose of PPSV23 in pateints with Hodgkin lymphoma.

One dose of PCV7 gave lower antibody level increases than one dose of PPSV23.

Molrine et al Ann Intern Med 1996

A subgroup of the PCV7 patients received 12 months later a PPSV23 dose and responded with higher antibody levels than the patients in the original study that got only PPSV23

Chan et al, JID 1996



Pasiarski et al 2014

Karo	linska Respon	nses af	ter rituxin	nab treatı	nent	Å.
Table L	Patient characteristics and resp	onses to vaccines.				
Age (years)	Lymphoma type	Therapy	Time of vaccination after rituximab (months)	% CD19" + CD19" CD20" of total WBC at vaccination/4 weeks after vaccination	Response to Pneumo 23 <sup>®</sup>	Response to Act-HIB <sup>®</sup>
63	Follicular grade 2 stage II	R4+4	6	0.04/0-22	No	No
			12 revaccination	1.26/1.7	No	No
59	Follicular grade 3A stage III	R + CHOP × 8	6	0.54/1-0	No	No
	1000 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100		no revaccination			
57	Marginal zone stage IV	R4+4	6	0.26/0-7	Yes	Yes
63	Follicular grade 2 stage III	R 4+4	12	0.6/0.83	No	Yes
73	Mantle cell stage IV	$R + CHOP \times 8$	12	0.32/0.24	No	Yes
63	Follicular grade 2 stage III	R 4	12	0.6/0.57	No	No
			18 revaccination	1-1/0-95	No	No
56	Follicular grade 2 stage III	R4+4	6	0-42/0-82	No	Yes
61	Follicular grade 2 stage III	R4+4	12	1-0/0-9	No	Yes















¶. Set

Karolinska Institutet

#### Children with cancer/leukemia



Antibody levels are generally low in children treated for acute leukemia – lower than age-matched unvaccinated controls. It was not due to low Ig levels (Lehrnbecher et al Br. J. Haematol 2009)

Few if any patients have "protective" levels to all serotypes included in the PCV7 (Patel et al Arch Dis Child 2012)

No good data regarding efficacy of pneumococcal vaccination in children with cancer/leukemia

#### UK recommendations - PCV13



Severely immunocompromised children diagnosed from five years onwards and adults

Severely immunocompromised children aged at least five years and adults – including bone marrow transplant patients, patients with acute and chronic leukaemia, multiple myeloma or genetic disorders affecting the immune system (e.g. IRAK-4, NEMO, compliment deficiency) – should be offered a single dose of PCV13 followed by PPV23 at least two months later (irrespective of their routine childhood vaccinations).

For leukaemia patients, PCV13 should be given from six months after completion of chemotherapy, and for bone marrow transplant patients, PCV13 should be offered 9-12 months following transplantation.

Severely immunocompromised patients who have already received PPV23 should be offered PCV13 with an interval of at least six months following the dose of PPV23 to reduce the risk of pneumococcal serotype-specific hyporesponsiveness.







HSCT. What do the studies say?



Uncontrolled studies show that only one dose PCV gives quite poor response also in HSCT recipients.

Donor vaccination might improve the results

No controlled study have compared one vs. three doses of PCV

No controlled study has investigated the efficacy of the prime – boost strategy with 1 dose PCV followed by 1 dose PPSV23



Influence of Immunization Timing on the Response to Conjugate-Pneumococcal Vaccine after Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation; the *IDWP01 trial* CID 2009

C Cordonnier, M Labopin, V Chesnel, P Ribaud, R da la Camara, R Martino, A Ullmann, T Parkkali, A Locasciulli, K Yakouben, K Pauksen, D Niederwieser, J Apperley, E Bonnet, H Einsele, P Ljungman, for the EBMT Infectious Diseases Working Party







Rarolinska Institutet Percentage ≥ .5µg/ml	e of responders at L for all the 7 antig	the cut-off of gens of Prevnar	® K
	EARLY GROUP	LATE GROUP	
	n=75	n=83	P=
S1 (before)	8%	2%	
	6/74	1/64	.08
S3 (1 mo after 3 doses)	56%	54%	
	32/57	31/57	0.64
S6 (24 mo)	34%	55%	
	15/44	23/42	.06

S1 and S6: no difference S3 (test of non-inferiority): we are 95% sure that the results in the early arm are not more than 13.5% worse than the results in the late arm.

EBAT

EBMT

Impact of transplant factors on the responders (> .5 μg/mL) (uni and multivariate analysis)			
Factor	Yes / No	р	Multivariate
Donor age > 37y	63% v. 34%	.003	.03
Acute GvHD before S3	25% v. 45%	.03	ns
Gammaglobulines >4g/L	85 % v. 68%	.044	ns
cGVHD before S3	29% v. 60%	.002	.009

No impact of recipient age, sex, diagnosis, status at transplant, source of stem cells, lymphocyte counts

Karolinska Institutet	Re at	espons 12 or 1	e to PPSV23 18 months a	3 given fter HSCT	
Pn 1		Time	Early grou	p Late grou	<u>p</u>
positive >	0.5	S4	4/51 (8%)	1/52 (2%)	0.16
		S5	21/50 (42%)	28/47 (60%)	0.08
		S6	17/44 (39%)	21/42 (50%)	0.29
41 T1	1% o he se	f non-re rotype c	sponders to PC coverage was e	CV7 responded xtended	
			Cor	donnier et al Vac	cine 2010









All Patients Assigned to Study	Age 2<18 n=61	Age ≥ 18 n=190	All (age ≥2) N=251
All Available Immunogenicity Population	n=60	n=189	N=249
Demographics at baseline Median age, y (min, max) Sex: M/F, %	10 (2,17) 55/45	47 (18,71) 61/39	42 (2,71) 59/41
Transplant details Conditioning: myeloablative/reduced intensity, % Donor HLA: matched/mismatched, % Source: marrow/PBSC*/cord blood, % Median time between HSCT and PCV13 dose 1, d (min, max)	82/18 92/8 57/33/10 175 (97, 209)	55/46 93/7 10/87/3 146 (96, 208)	61/39 92/7 21/74/4 152 (96, 209)
Underlying disease, n (%) Acute myeloid leukaemia Acute lymphocytic leukaemia Aplastic anaemia Myelodysplastic syndrome Other haematologic conditions	8 (13) 16 (27) 14 (23) 4 (7) 18 (30)	95 (50) 16 (9) 8 (4) 17 (9) 53 (28)	103 (41) 32 (13) 22 (9) 21 (8) 71 (29)
Baseline medications, n (%) <sup>†</sup> Systemic corticosteroids Cyclosporine Tacrolimus	11 (18) 24 (39) 4 (7)	55 (29) 114 (60) 29 (15)	66 (26) 138 (55) 33 (13)













# Vaccine differently in different groups of patients?

Few or no specific data, and no comparative data

In the PCV13 (3003) study (Cordonnier et al. CID 2015): no difference in the immune response between:

Myeloablative and reduced intensity conditioningBone marrow vs peripheral blood SC vs Cord blood

⇒ Guidelines: « ...same vaccination schedule for all HSCT recipients, until additional data are published... »



Is GVHD a contra-indication to vaccination?



#### 1) <u>SAFETY</u>

- no significant worsening of GVHD

- no serious side effect reported

2) EFFICACY: does GVHD impair the immune response?

- Yes for pneumococcal PPV and conjugate vaccine

- No for conjugate Hib vaccine

Rubin et al. CID 2014









Immune response to <u>PPV23</u> after solid organ transplant



Very close to the one of healthy subjects when vaccinated BEFORE transplant (Mc Cashiand 2000) <u>or</u> SEVERAL YEARS LATER(Dengler TJ 1998, Blumberg EA 2001)

But much lower if vaccinated earlier after transplant (13-40% if PPV23 given 1.2 y after renal transplant) (*Kumar D 2003*)

Variable response according to the serotype: ex: only 50% for serotype 3 (Dengler TJ 1998)

The response may be lost 3-5 years later (Danzinger-Isakov L 2009)



# Immune response to <u>PCV7</u> in renal transplant adult recipients



Randomized study PCV7 versus PPV23, in 60 renal transplant adults 3 mo to 3 y after transplant

Response criteria: Ab level  $\ge 1 \mu g/mL$  and GMFR  $\ge x 2$  for at least one vaccine serotype 2 months after immunization

#### Results:

Comparable response (73.3% vs 53.3%)

A trend for better response after PCV7, significant only for serotypes 23F and 6B No graft rejection

#### **Considerations:**

- The patients were vaccinated between 13-15 months after transplant
- All parameters favor PCV7

Kumar D et al JID 2003





Immune response to <u>PCV7</u> in adult liver transplant recipients



Randomized study in 113 liver transplant recipients PCV7 + PPV23 (2 mo interval) *versus* placebo + PPV23

Response criteria: Ab level  $\geq .35\mu$ g/mL <u>and</u> GMFR  $\geq x 2$  for at least one vaccine serotype, 2 months after immunization

Results:

- Responses not different (including on OPA test): 85.7% vs 91.2%

Considerations:

- Response criteria: debatable
- Patients immunized between 2.6 to 3.4 years after transplant Kumar D et al CID 2008







No difference in response between the two groups in response rate or response in number of serotypes

Tobutic et al, PLOSone 2012



Guidelines for immunization against pneumococcal infection in SOT recipients



Before transplant: 1 PCV13+1 PPV23 (if no PPV23 during the last 5 y)

#### After transplant:

If not administered before SOT, PCV13 should be administered 2 to 6 months after SOT, with the timing based on the patient's degree of immunosuppression, then one dose of PPV23 2 months later
 If PCV13 before transplant: one dose of PPV23

Vaccination SHOULD BE withheld from SOT recipients during intensified immunosuppression, including the first 2 months post-transplant period, because of the likelihood of inadequate response.

Vaccination SHOULD NOT be withheld due to concerns about rejection

Rubin LG, Levin MJ, Ljungman P et al. CID 2013



663 HSCT recipients reviewed between December 2010 through February 2013 revealed that:

252 (38%) patients received the first series of recommended vaccinations by 6 months398 (60%) received them by 1 year after HSCT

Ariza-Heredia EJ et al, Transplant ID 2015